

To: KHanson@ldftribe.com[KHanson@ldftribe.com]
Cc: Egan, Robert[egan.robert@epa.gov]
From: Saari, Christopher A - DNR
Sent: Fri 3/18/2016 8:32:39 PM
Subject: RE: Tower Standard - Hydraulic Conductivity Cost Estimate removed.txt

Hi Kristen:

I was just talking to Dave Larsen about the project and he mentioned that he has not received any comments from you regarding hydraulic conductivity testing. I assumed from your message below that you will be communicating directly with him. Anyway, he was waiting to finish his revised Scope of Work/Cost Request until he received your comments, so that he could then resubmit, get his approvals and schedule the field work.

If I misunderstood your intent below, please let me know. Thanks, and have a good weekend.

We are committed to service excellence.

Visit our survey at <http://dnr.wi.gov/customersurvey> to evaluate how I did.

Chris Saari

Phone: (715) 685-2920

Christopher.Saari@Wi.gov

From: Hanson, Kristen [mailto:KHanson@ldftribe.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 10:00 AM
To: Saari, Christopher A - DNR
Cc: egan.robert@epa.gov
Subject: RE: Tower Standard - Hydraulic Conductivity Cost Estimate

Thanks Chris,

Before sending comments to Dave, I thought I would pass along a few thoughts.

- 1) Please remove the three up gradient Tribal Wells from hydraulic conductivity testing. This isn't needed and we have concerns about Dave's access to these wells (cross contamination, etc)
- 2) Wow! The going rate for a field tech to administer a slug test seems high to me.

My general comments to Dave will be

- 1) Notification and Coordination with Tribal Staff for Onsite Presence during work.
- 2) The data measurements be included Dave's submittal (static wl, wl readings from datalogger during slug test)
- 3) Results and Reports be provided to the Tribe within a reasonable timeframe and concurrent with any WDNR submittal.

Kristen

From: Saari, Christopher A - DNR [<mailto:Christopher.Saari@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 9:00 AM
To: Hanson, Kristen
Subject: FW: Tower Standard - Hydraulic Conductivity Cost Estimate

We are committed to service excellence.

Visit our survey at <http://dnr.wi.gov/customersurvey> to evaluate how I did.

Chris Saari

Phone: (715) 685-2920

Christopher.Saari@Wi.gov

From: Dave Larsen [<mailto:dlarsen@reiengineering.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2016 12:16 PM
To: Saari, Christopher A - DNR
Subject: Tower Standard - Hydraulic Conductivity Cost Estimate

Chris – I have attached a copy of the hydraulic conductivity estimate for Tower. The breakdown is as follows:

U&C Portion

- Primary Mob and overnight (the U&C rate was used rather than T&M)
- Incremental Mob – additional charge for 2nd person to assist during testing
- Change order

Variance Portion:

- Complete Hc calculations at all 17 wells (assume 2 hours per test)
- Data interpretation: download well specific data and conduct Hc calculation. Data to be presented will include copy of data download graph and conductivity calculation
- Datalogger charge: We will be using InSitu Level Troll 500 loggers. The loggers will be atmospherically vented and will automatically compensate for barometric pressure fluctuations. We will also use a water level indicator to collect manual measurements to ensure data is


calibrated.

I would like to discuss preferred methods with you. Typically we run slug tests (introduce stainless slug and allow water levels to stabilize and pull the slug and measure recovery). Some of the wells probably will recover too soon for this method and I want to make sure LDF/EPA are on board with our methods.

Thank you,

David N. Larsen P.G

Hydrogeologist / Professional Geologist



Connect with us :   

Confidentiality Notice: This message is intended for the recipient only. If you have received this e-mail in error please disregard.